

In the Supreme Court of the State of Idaho

ST. LUKE'S HEALTH SYSTEM, LTD;
ST. LUKE'S REGIONAL MEDICAL
CENTER, LTD; CHRIS ROTH, an
individual; and NATASHA D.
ERICKSON, M.D., an individual, and
TRACY W. JUNGMAN, N.P., an
individual,

Plaintiffs-Respondents,

v.

DIEGO RODRIGUEZ, an individual,

Defendant-Appellant,

and

AMMON BUNDY, an individual;
AMMON BUNDY FOR GOVERNOR,
a political organization; FREEDOM
MAN PRESS, LLC, a limited liability
company; FREEDOM MAN PAC, a
registered political action committee;
and PEOPLE'S RIGHTS NETWORK,
a political organization and an
unincorporated association,

Defendants.

Order Re: Motion to Dismiss Appeal and Motion to Appear Remotely for Oral Argument

Supreme Court Docket No. 51244-2023

Ada County District Court No.
CV01-22-06789

1. A MOTION TO DISMISS APPEAL and MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO DISMISS APPEAL were filed by counsel for Respondents on March 6, 2025.
2. A RESPONSE TO MOTION TO DISMISS APPEAL was filed by Appellant on March 21, 2025.
3. A REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO DISMISS APPEAL was filed by counsel for Respondents on May 15, 2025.
4. A FINAL REBUTTAL TO PLAINTIFFS' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO DISMISS APPEAL was filed by Appellant on May 16, 2025.
5. A MOTION TO APPEAR REMOTELY FOR ORAL ARGUMENT was filed by Appellant on May 29, 2025.
6. A MEMORANDUM IN RESPONSE TO DIEGO RODRIGUEZ'S MOTION TO APPEAR REMOTELY FOR ORAL ARGUMENT and DECLARATION OF JENNIFER M. JENSEN IN SUPPORT OF MEMORANDUM IN RESPONSE TO DIEGO RODRIGUEZ'S MOTION

TO APPEAR REMOTELY FOR ORAL ARGUMENT were filed by counsel for Respondents on June 12, 2025.

7. A REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO APPEAR REMOTELY FOR ORAL ARGUMENT was filed by Appellant on June 12, 2025.


Therefore, after due consideration,

IT IS ORDERED that at the time of oral argument Appellant and counsel for Respondents will each have five (5) additional minutes to argue the Motion to Dismiss Appeal before oral argument on the merits of the appeal.


IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Appellant's MOTION TO APPEAR REMOTELY FOR ORAL ARGUMENT is DENIED and Appellant is required to appear in person at oral argument.

Dated June 27th, 2025.

By Order of the Supreme Court


G. Richard Bevan, Chief Justice

ATTEST:


Melanie Gagnepain, Clerk of the Courts